



Percent Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Removed Annually by Tree Cover

This EnviroAtlas community map estimates the mean percent of particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns removed annually by trees in each census block group.

Why is PM_{2.5} removal important?

Particles with a diameter of 2.5 microns or less (PM_{2.5}) are known as "fine particles" that are regulated by EPA under the [National Ambient Air Quality Standards \(NAAQS\)](#). Particulate matter (PM) in this size range is a mixture of extremely small particles (e.g., dust) and liquid droplets (e.g., acids). The size of a particle is directly linked to its potential for causing health problems; smaller particles can be inhaled and penetrate deep into the lungs, causing serious health effects.¹ In addition to creating negative human health effects, particulate matter can also reduce visibility and affect water quality. Trees are capable of removing particulate matter from the atmosphere, thus contributing to environmental quality and public health.

Particles in the atmosphere can affect human health and quality of life. Human health problems from air pollution include: aggravation of respiratory and cardiovascular disease, decreased lung function, increased frequency and severity of respiratory symptoms (e.g., difficulty breathing and coughing), increased susceptibility to respiratory infections, effects on the nervous system (e.g., impacts on learning, memory, and behavior), cancer, and premature death.² People with pre-existing conditions such as heart disease, asthma and emphysema, as well as older adults and children, are at greater risk for air pollution-related health effects. Despite improvements in overall air quality, approximately 127 million people live in areas that exceeded air quality standards in 2008.²

In addition to its potential health effects, particulate matter in the atmosphere has environmental impacts. Air pollution affects the climate by either absorbing or reflecting energy that can lead to climate warming or cooling, respectively. Most particles are reflective and lead to net cooling, while some (especially black carbon) absorb energy and lead to warming.² Fine particles (PM_{2.5}) are also the main cause of reduced visibility (haze) in parts of the United States, including many national parks and wilderness areas. Typical visual range in the eastern U.S. is 15 to 30 miles, approximately one-third of what it would be without man-made air pollution. In the West, the typical visual range is



about 60 to 90 miles, or about one-half of the visual range under natural conditions.

Trees can remove particulate pollution by intercepting these airborne particles. Some particles can be absorbed into the tissues of the tree, though most particles that are intercepted are retained on the plant surface. Many of the intercepted particles are eventually re-suspended back to the atmosphere, washed off by rain, or dropped to the ground with leaf and twig fall.³ Thus, vegetation is only a temporary retention site for many atmospheric particles, though the removal of gaseous pollutants is more permanent. Healthy trees can remove significant amounts of air pollution in cities, where it is often concentrated.

How can I use this information?

The map, Percent Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5}) Removed Annually by Tree Cover, estimates the amount of fine particles removed by trees. These data could be used to explore patterns of PM_{2.5} removal by trees in communities that do not meet the standards set by the EPA's NAAQS. For compliant areas, the map can identify neighborhoods that potentially have higher PM_{2.5} concentrations compared to other neighborhoods. When used with EnviroAtlas data and maps that look at near-road environments, users can explore areas where high percentages of block group populations are in close proximity to roadways that have notably low volumes of PM_{2.5} removed by trees. Communities and researchers that have access to health data may be able to use this map and its underlying data to research the relationships among trees, PM_{2.5}, and human health.

How were the data for this map created?

The data for this map are based on the [land cover](#) derived for each EnviroAtlas community and pollution removal models in [i-Tree](#), a toolkit developed by the USDA Forest Service. The land cover data were created from aerial photography through remote sensing methods; tree cover was summarized as the percentage of each census block group. The i-Tree pollution removal module uses the tree cover data by block group, the closest hourly meteorological monitoring data for the community, and modeled pollution monitoring data. For PM_{2.5} pollution data, the EPA's Fused Air Quality Surfaces for census tracts were used. If a block group's tract was missing, the nearest tract was used. As PM_{2.5} data are daily estimates, the value was assumed to remain the same across the day. Additionally, the 2001 National Land Cover Dataset ([NLCD](#)) was used to determine the percentage of trees that were deciduous or evergreen. Local leaf-on and leaf-off dates were used to vary canopy cover daily based on the amount of tree cover classified as deciduous. PM_{2.5} removal estimates include resuspension and deposition rates that vary with wind speed.⁴

What are the limitations of these data?

All of the EnviroAtlas community maps that are based on land cover use remotely-sensed data. Remotely-sensed data in EnviroAtlas have been derived from imagery and have not been verified. These data are estimates and are inherently imperfect. This map also uses estimation methods for pollution removal. To accomplish this, average leaf area index values from urban areas were used. These averages may not accurately reflect local conditions, but since local values are not available, these are the best usable estimates. This limitation is not particularly significant because leaf area index values do not vary substantially and have a relatively small impact on the estimate. Additionally, this map uses weather and pollutant monitoring data to represent local conditions, though a city's average weather and pollutant conditions do not depict potential variability of

conditions within the community. The daily PM_{2.5} concentration data are used to represent hourly values with the assumption that there is a constant concentration throughout a day.

How can I access these data?

EnviroAtlas data can be viewed in the interactive map, accessed through web services, or downloaded. The EnviroAtlas land cover maps created for each community are available under the Supplemental Maps tab in the interactive map table of contents.

Where can I get more information?

There are numerous resources where additional information on particulate matter as an air pollutant can be found; a selection of these resources is listed below. For information on EPA air pollution rules, regulations, and monitoring programs, please visit the Agency's website. To learn more about i-Tree tools and how they can be used to support research, planning, and policy efforts, visit the [i-Tree website](#). For more information on how air pollution and its removal may affect human health, visit the Clean Air section of the [Eco-Health Relationship Browser](#). For additional information on the data creation process, access the metadata for the data layer from the drop down menu on the interactive map table of contents and click again on metadata at the bottom of the metadata summary page for more details. To ask specific questions about these data, please contact the [EnviroAtlas Team](#).

Acknowledgments

EnviroAtlas is a collaborative effort by EPA, its contractors, and project partners. The data for PM_{2.5} Removed were generated by Satoshi Hirabayashi and Allison Bodine, Davey Tree Expert Co., and David J. Nowak, USDA Forest Service. The fact sheet was created by David J. Nowak, USDA Forest Service, Jessica Daniel, EPA Student Services Contractor, and Laura Jackson, EPA.

Selected Publications

1. United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). 2010. [Our nation's air: Status and trends through 2008](#). Accessed March 2013.
 2. United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2003. [National air quality and emissions trends report—2003 special studies edition](#). Research Triangle Park, NC. Accessed March 2013.
 3. Nowak, D.J., D.E. Crane, and J.C. Stevens. 2006. [Air pollution removal by urban trees and shrubs in the United States](#). *Urban Forestry and Urban Greening* 4:115–123.
 4. Smith, W. H. 1990. *Air pollution and forests*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 618 p.
- Nowak, D.J., S. Hirabayashi, A. Bodine and R. Hoehn. 2013. [Modeled PM_{2.5} removal by trees in ten U.S. cities and associated health effects](#). *Environmental Pollution* 178: 395–402.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2012. [Asthma in the U.S: Growing every year](#). Accessed March 2013.